

## REMARKS

Claims 22, 28 and 38 were objected to and Claims 22, 23, 36, 38 and 39 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

By this amendment, claims 22, 23, 28, 32, 36, 37, 38 and 39 changes have been made to these claims which are believed to overcome the Examiner's objections.

Claims 1-5, 9, 11, 16, 25, 28 and 31 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type doubling patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-5 and 7-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,720,092.

A terminal disclaimer is enclosed that should overcome the double-patenting rejection.

The remaining claims were indicated to be allowable. This case is now believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 22,363

Raymond L. Owens/das  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: 585-477-4653  
Facsimile: 585-477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.